

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

MK FILING DATE APPLICATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 09/210,373 12/14/98 **FUJIKI** KOJIM-264 **EXAMINER** IM62/0621 MILLEN WHITE ZELANO & BRANIGAN RAJGURU, U ARLINGTON COURTHOUSE PLAZA I ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2200 CLARENDON BLVD SUITÉ 1400 ARLINGTON VA 22201 1711 DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. . . Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

06/21/99

		•		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Group Art Unit	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	s on the cover sheet	beneath the co	orrespondence ad	dress
Period for Response				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE	MONT	H(S) FROM THE	
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days,</li> <li>If NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by defa</li> <li>Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, the set or extended period for response will be set or e</li></ul>	a response within the statu ault, expire SIX (6) MONTH	utory minimum of the state of t	hirty (30) days will be c g date of this communic	onsidered timely.
Status				
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on				·
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .				
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935			the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims				
Claim(s)		is/are	pending in the appli	ication.
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn from con	sideration.
□ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.	
✓ Claim(s)	and 5-6	is/are	rejected.	
X Claim(s)		is/a <b>)×(</b>	objected to.	
□ Claim(s)		are su require	bject to restriction o	or election
Application Papers				
<ul> <li>□ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing</li> <li>□ The proposed drawing correction, filed on</li> </ul>			_	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are object	• •		a.	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
<ul> <li>□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority und</li> <li>□ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the received.</li> <li>□ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number □ received in this national stage application from the Interest</li> </ul>	he priority documents	have been		
	•			
*Certified copies not received:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
*Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)				
Attachment(s)	o(s). 2	Interview Sum	mary PTO-413	
*Certified copies not received:  Attachment(s)  Attachment(s)  Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.  Notice of References Cited, PTO-892		Interview Sumr	mary, PTO-413 mal Patent Applicati	on, PTO-152

Application/Control Number: 09/210,373 Page 2

Art Unit: 1711

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness

rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was

commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to

the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor

and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was

made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35

U.S.C. 102(f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-3 and 5-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Tarumi et al (USP 5837774) in view of Stoetzer (USP 451824).

(Stoetzer is of record on PTO-1449, paper no. 2).

Tarumi disclose curable fluoropolyether rubber composition comprising (A)

fluoropolyether compound, (B) polytetrafluoroethylene, (c) organohydrogenpolysiloxane and (D)

hydrosilylation reaction catalyst (abstract). These components are later described at length in the

appropriate columns.

Tarumi fails to disclose the (claimed) silver particles (component (D) of instant claim 1).

Application/Control Number: 09/210,373 Page 3

Art Unit: 1711

Stoetzer discloses a conductive composition comprising silver particles (abstract). Such a composition is suitable for use in forming applied coatings having very good electrical conductance properties and is also more economical to produce and also possess advantageous properties (col 1, lines 37-54).

Therefore it would have been obvious in view of the advantages disclosed by Stoetzer to incorporate the silver particles of Stoetzer into the composition of Tarumi and thus arrive at instant invention.

- 2. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to U.K. Rajguru whose telephone number is (703) 308-3224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck, can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-3599.

Application/Control Number: 09/210,373 Page 4

Art Unit: 1711

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Rajguru/mm

June 14, 1999

James J. Seidleck
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700